

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/676,788	09/30/2003	Walter E. Donovan	NVIDP030A	NVIDP030A 8349		
28875 7	10/21/2004		EXAMINER			
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			JANKUS, ALMIS R			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2671			
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	cation No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/676,788		DONOVAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Almis R Jankı		2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	1					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,9-12 and 15-28</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>5-8,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Oce the attached detailed emice action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	0.452)			
3) LI Infor Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PT	U-102)			

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-28 are presented for examination.
- 2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-4, 9-12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4, 5-8, and 9 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6593923. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 1-4, 9-12, and 15 are identical to claims 1-4, 5-8, and 9 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6593923.

4. Claims 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 17, 18, 19, and
20 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6690372. This is a double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 2671

The rejected claims depend from other claims; however, after including all of the limitations of the claims from which these depend, they are identical to the above patent claims.

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 16, 19, 22, 25, and 28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 9, 11, 19, and 21 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6690372. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are a genus of the patent species claims. The instant claims comprise identical elements of the patent claims, however, the patent claims comprise additional elements not found in the instant claims. Nevertheless, since elements of the patent claims are found in the application claims it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to see that the application claims do, in fact, comprise elements of the patent claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,788 Page 4

Art Unit: 2671

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office

action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 16, 19, 22, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitted.

With respect to claim 16, Whitted teaches performing a first shading calculation in order to generate output, at the abstract as global illumination information; saving the output, at the abstract as storing the information in a tree of "rays"; and performing a second shading calculation using the output in order to generate further output, at the abstract as passing this information to the shader which traverses the tree to determine the intensity of light received by the viewer. The limitation in the preamble of "in a graphics pipeline" is given no patentable weight as merely a field of use limitation.

Claims 22 and 28 are similar to claim 19 but require a computer program, and a system respectively. The computer program and system are taught at page 349 section 4.

Art Unit: 2671

Claims 19 and 25 depend from claims 16 and 22 respectively, and further require that the first and second shading calculations together include a diffuse color variable, a specular color variable, and an ambient color variable. Whitted teaches this at sections 1 and 2 where the variables with subscripts "a" represent ambient color variables, "s" is for specular color variables, and "d" is for diffuse color variables.

- 9. Claims 5-8 and 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almis R Jankus whose telephone number is 703-305-9795. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman can be reached on 703-305-9798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΑJ

ALMEYA, JANKUS PRIMARY EXAMINER